

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/670,753	IVANOVIC ET AL.	

All Participants:	Status of Application: _____
(1) <u>TuyetLien (Lien) T. Tran.</u>	(3) _____
(2) <u>Hunaid Basrai.</u>	(4) _____
Date of Interview: <u>5 December 2006</u>	Time: <u>3:00pm</u>
Type of Interview:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
If Yes, provide a brief description:	
Part I.	
Rejection(s) discussed:	
Claims discussed:	
1-43	
Prior art documents discussed:	
None	
Part II.	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:	
See Continuation Sheet	
Part III.	
<input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	
	
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In view of applicant's amendment filed 10/13/06, the examiner proposed an amendment to place the application in condition for allowance. Particularly, the examiner suggested adding the limitation of claims 3 and 4 and the limitation "over an icon representing a node" to all independent claims. Applicant's representative agreed and authorized an Examiner's Amendment..